

**REMARKS**

In view of the amendments and remarks herein, favorable reconsideration and allowance of this application are respectfully requested. By this Amendment, claims 25, 26, 27 and 28 have been amended. Thus, claims 25-28 are pending.

**Response to Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §101**

Claim 28 has been rejected under 35 USC 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 28 has been amended herein in a manner that is believed to overcome this rejection. In particular, claim 28 has been amended to recite a method of calling a display list in a graphics system using a graphics command stream. Thus, claim 28 is now believed to clearly define statutory subject matter. Reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection are respectfully requested.

Claims 25-28 have been rejected under 35 USC 101 as claiming the same invention as claims 1-4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,421,058 ("the '058 patent"). Claims 25-28 have been amended such that their claim scope is materially different from the scope of claims 1-4 of the '058 patent. Therefore applicant submits that claims 25-28 as amended do not claim the same invention as claims 1-4 of the '058 patent. Reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection are respectfully requested.

**Response to Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)**

Claims 25-28 have been rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being obvious over Shaw et al (U.S. Pat. 5,657,479 hereinafter "Shaw"). Applicant does not acquiesce to

these rejections, but, in view of issued claims, the present claims have been amended to provide different claim scope. Applicant believes the amended claims and previously issued claims to be patentable over Shaw and all other references of record whether considered alone or in conjunction.

For at least the following reasons, Applicant respectfully submits that these claims are not rendered obvious by the cited reference. There is no teaching or suggestion in Shaw of the particular graphics command stream expressly defined in claim 25, the particular encoding of the storage medium defined in claim 26, the particular decoder defined in claim 27, or the particular method defined in claim 28. More specifically, Shaw fails to teach or suggest the particular bits patterns or the particular command format expressly required by all of the pending claims. As a result, Applicant respectfully submits that Shaw fails to establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness for any of the pending claims. Reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection are respectfully requested.

### **Conclusion**

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully submits that all of the pending claims are now in condition for allowance. Thus, passage of this case to issuance at an early date is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

**NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.**

By:



Joseph S. Presta  
Reg. No. 35,329

JSP:bpt  
1100 North Glebe Road, 8th Floor  
Arlington, VA 22201-4714  
Telephone: (703) 816-4000  
Facsimile: (703) 816-4100